MAY 1 4 2007

FAX COVER SHEET

PLEASE CONFIRM RECEIPT OF THIS FACSIMILE



Attention: MAIL STOP AF Fax: (571) 273-8300 Group Art Unit: 2621 Examiner: VENT, Jamie J. Phone: UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (571) 272-6973 May 14, 2007 Pages: | Cover + 1 + 10 = 12 Date: Fax: (310) 964-0941 From: Georgann S. Grunebach Phone: Assistant General Counsel (310) 964-4615

The information contained in this facsimile is confidential and may also contain privileged attorney-client information or work product. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received the facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and return the original message to us at the address below via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence identified below is being facsimile transmitted to (571) 273-8300 (Centralized Facsimile Number), addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on May 14, 2007.

Øeorgann S. Grunebach, Reg. No. 33,179

Telephone No. (310) 964-4615 (Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate)

May 14, 2007 (Date of Signature)

Attention: Commissioner for Patents

Attorney Docket No. PD-200144

Please find attached Re:

Serial No.: 09/836,378 Filing Date: April 17, 2001

- TRANSMITTAL FORM PTO/SB/21 (1 page)
- RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED MARCH 13, 2007 (10 pages) \triangleright

If you do not receive all pages, or pages are not clear, please call Karen Lum at (310) 964-0735.

The DirecTV Group, Inc., CA/LA1/A109, P. O. Box 956, El Segundo CA 90245

MAY 1 4 2007

PTO/SB/21 (04-07) Approved for use through 09/30/2007. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid CMB control number. Application Number 09/838 378 TRANSMITTAL Filing Date April 17, 2001 First Named Inventor FORM Adrian Yap 2621 Examiner Name VENT, Jamle J. (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Attorney Docket Number PD-200144 Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) Appeal Communication to Board Licensing-related Papers Fee Attached of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC Petilion (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Amendment/Reply Petition to Convert to a Proprietary Information After Final Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter Affidavits/declaration(s) Change of Correspondence Address Other Enclosure(s) (please identify Terminal Disclaimer Extension of Time Request below): Request for Refund Express Abandonment Request CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD Certified Copy of Priority Remarks Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name The Signature Printed name Georgann S. Grunebach Date Reg. No. May 14, 2007 33,179 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature Seorgaan S. Grunebach Date May 14, 2007 Typed or printed name

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case, Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Tradement Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 1 4 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

U.S. Serial No. 09/836,378) I hereby certify that this correspondence is) being facsimile transmitted to the United) States Patent & Trademark Office, Fax No.) (571) 273-8300) on this date:
First Named Inventor: Yap	
Title: DVR SYSTEM	
Filed: April 17, 2001	
TC/AU: 2616)
Examiner: Jamie J. Vent) Dated: May 14, 2007
Docket No.: PD-200144	Bulle-
,) Georgann S. Grunebach Reg. No. 33.719

RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION DATED MARCH 13, 2007

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In response to the Office action dated March 13, 2007, please enter the following amendments and consider the following remarks:

An Examiner Interview Summary begins on page 2 of this paper.

The Status of the Claims is reflected in the listing of claims that begins on page 3 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 8 of this paper.

U.S. Serial No. 09/836,378 Attorney Docket No. PD-200144

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY

MAY 1 4 2007

The undersigned would like to thank Examiner Vent for the courtesies extended in a telephonic examiner interview conducted on April 16, 2007.

The teachings of Bellamy (US 6,209,025) and Garahi (US 6,674,448) were generally discussed. The applicants and the examiner generally agreed that neither Bellamy nor Garahi described memory for storing digital video content. While no agreement was reached regarding the patentability of the claims, the undersigned believes that the interview clarified the issues in the case in an effort to advance prosecution.